FEANTSA 2023

Housing First but what next? How about work?

Research on the Uuras Employment Program

Life Situation Map

Krista Kosonen, Dr. of Arts



Topics

An overview of the context & the research Uuras Employment Program

Life Situation Map & character strength cards Participants and their thoughts on work Using the method Ethical considerations



Finland's largest national nonprofit landlord Specialist in homelessness work

Economic and social well-being of our tenants

Housing for special groups 7 500

Home for all

Multidisciplinary research team

Affordable rental housing **11 100**

Uuras Employment Program



Housing First in Finland

Housing as a basic human and social right

Normality

Adequate support if needed and wanted Own independent rental apartment either in scattered housing or in a supported housing unit

> Finding a common political will



Uuras Employment Program

- Established in 2018, after several pilots since 2013
- Uuras is a small team of 4 people funded by Y-Foundation
- Uuras operates in large cities in Finland, mainly in Helsinki metropolitan area
- Uuras provides low threshold gig work and employment coordination services for all Y-Foundation's tenants (Y-homes and M2homes) free of charge



Uuras combines work and housing

- Gig work is managed via Treamer app
- Each gig includes a work contract and proper salary (paid next week from the gig)
- Employment coordination helps to get work from e.g. partner companies in labour shortage fields
- A guaranteed interview in open positions in partner companies
- Clients come via an e-form, events, or housing advice



Motivation for the study

- Having a home does not automatically make life more meaningful or increase the sense of belongingness
- In addition to providing income, work provides meaning, ties us to a community, creates structure for the day, affects one's self-esteem and identity

Housing helps to maintain employment

Employment helps to maintain housing

Outline of the research

"Work for tenants. Exploring the effectiveness of Uuras Employment Program"

- Qualitative, narrative, longitudinal study: 2023-2026
- Target group: unemployed 18-55 year old clients of Uuras
 - 8-12 participants. Followed until they have been employed for one year.
- Data collection: interviews (mapping tool), text messages, phone calls + statistical data
- Participants suggested by Uuras team and recruited by the researcher
- Interviews conducted in a private room in public library
- The aim is to find out the impact of Uuras on its clients' employment and wellbeing
- Approach: voluntary talk, building trust, pace co-defined. No goals or reporting duties
- The research produces in-depth knowledge of participants' work paths and factors that facilitate or complicate employment and dwelling

How are you?

Walking beside you.

What impact does Uuras have on its clients' employment, wellbeing, and housing in the short and long term?

Data

Qualitative data of res. participants

- Audio recorded interviews
- Photos of the life situation maps
- Text messages & memos of phone calls



Statistics of all clients of Uuras

- Date of becoming a client of Uuras
- Birth year
- Employment situation
- Contact days with Uuras
- Gig work dates & type of gig
- (Employer)

Life situation map

- A tangible "map" to facilitate the interview
- Person and their life situation in the center and selected life areas around the center
- Life areas selected based on literature review & interviewing Uuras
- Free discussion about the themes in the order & depth the interviewee prefer



Life situation map - tools

- Most commonly used services and benefits related to the different life areas
- The measurement sticks (scale 1-10) help to evaluate the overall satiscaction to the selected life area
- Sticky notes & pen to write notes about the main points made by the interviewee
- Play money to evaluate the amount of money left per month after fixed expenses



Character strengths

- The interview ends with a discussion about strengths to give an encouragement and direct the thoughts towards good in the end of the meeting
- If the interviewee cannot name any, the interviewer can suggest strenghts based on the discussion
- The cards are based on VIA character strengths and positive psychology





	2022										2023														
Participants	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	\rightarrow







Thoughts on Uuras gigs and work / 1st interview

"I think it's very important that there is that kind of open (atmosphere)... so that you can really come as you are. That is, as an authentic self, and you don't need to pretend." "Many employers could think... if they would know (your) history and so... maybe look (at you) like what kind of person is this."

> "I missed so... after ten years of being unemployed, having at least something to do, so of course it gave me a good feeling"

"I don't fit to customer service… I'm a bit introvert"

"I think that it is extremely good substance abuse service the one that prevents exclusion, that is the work activity."

> "If I don't have anything to do, I will employ myself."

Economic reasons are the main ones, you get the salary also pretty fast. (...) You don't have to stress that you run out of money and cannot afford to buy food."

"Working on gigs is the best thing at the moment, when you can sort of tailor your own week to how you can manage it."

Using the life situation map

- Co-construction
- Supports free storytelling that allows to jump between different areas
- Provides rich data
- May help the interviewee clarify their life situation and see connections between different life areas
- A material facilitator in the situation that can make the interviewing situation less anxious
- Results to a series of "maps" in a longitudinal study

"This gives quite a lot to me as well. I can see for myself, where I've got to."

> "Nothing immediately came to mind about this"

"All these things have to be mirrored via yourself. The most difficult thing here is that you have to look at everything with a sober head."

"This is very psychological, and it's actually quite well done (...) This is actually a bit more specific than what psychiatrists have had."

Using the character strength cards

- Focus on stenghts in the end of the interview
- If the participant finds it hard to select any strenghts, those can be proposed based on the narration
- May feel encouraging but also difficult for the participant

"It was quite hard that one… to think about oneself"



Ethical considerations

- Method can bring structure and clarity, but also feel as therapeutic
 - Participants may start to process things, and reflection may evoke feelings and bring new insights
- Role of the researcher as a co-constructor of the data
 - Piloting and self-experiencing the method first is important
 - Empathy, being emotionally present, having positive approach, but not acting as a therapist nor a support worker
 - The story is owned and meanings given only by the participant
 - Ethical narrative research
 - Loyalty to the participant's voice, anonymization, presenting the participants in a truthful manner, but also in a way tht does not cause harm to them
- Interviews are interventions that affect the results

"It was really nice to go (to the first gig), (I was) a bit nervous but not as much as (I am) now"

> "It's good that they are raised up, so that you don't just roll them around alone in your head."

Literature

Work & homelessness / housing

Bretherton, J. & Pleace, N., 2019. Is work and answer to homelessness? Evaluating an employment programme for homeless adults. *European Journal of Homelessness*, 13(1), pp. 57-81.

Hoven, H. et al., 2016. Job coaching and success in gaining ans sustaining employment among homeless people. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 26(6), pp. 668-674.

Marrone, J., 2005. Creating hope through employment for people who are homeless or in transitional housing. *American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation*, Volume 8, pp. 13-35.

Metraux, S., Fargo, J. D., Eng, N. & Culhane, D. P., 2018. Employment and earnings trajectories during two decades among adults in New York City homeless shelters. *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 20(2), pp. 173-202.

Poremski, D., Woodhall-Melnik, J., Lemieux, A. J. & Stergiopoulos, V., 2015. Persisting barriers to employment for recently housed adults with mental illness who were homeless. *Journal of Urban Health*, 93(1), pp. 96-108.

Shaheen, G. & Rio, J., 2007. Recognizing work as a priority in preventing or ending homelessness. *The Journal of Primary Prevention,* Volume 28, pp. 341-358.

Stacy, M. A., Stefanovics, E. & Rosenheck, R., 2017. Reasons for job loss among homeless veterans in supported employment. *American journal of psychiatric rehabilitation*, 20(1), pp. 16-33.

Y-Foundation, 2022. Home for All. Keuruu: Otavan kirjapaino Oy.

Narrative research & narrative ethics

Bach, H., 2007. Composing a visual narrative inquiry. In: D. J. Clandinin, ed. *Handbook of Narrative Inquiry: Mapping a Methodology.* Thousand Oaks: SAGE, pp. 280-307.

Bruner, J., 1991a. Self-Making and World-Making. *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 25(1), pp. 67-78.

Bruner, J., 1991b. The Narrative Construction of Reality. *Critical Inquiry*, 18(1), pp. 1-21.

Bruner, J., 2004. Life as Narrative. Social Research, 71(3), pp. 691-710.

Fraser, H., 2004. Doing narrative research: Analysing personal stories line by line. *Qualitative Social Work,* 3(2), pp. 179-201.

Josselson, R., 2007. The Ethical Attitude in Narrative Research: Principles and Practicalities. In: D. J. Clandinin, ed. *Handbook of Narrative Inquiry: Mapping a Methodology.* Thousand Oaks: SAGE, pp. 537-566.

Kosonen, K. (2018) *Finding one's own way in desing. Reflections on narrative professional identity.* Helsinki: Aalto University. Doctoral dissertation.

McAdams, D. P., 1993. The stories we live by. New York: Guildford Press.

McAdams, D. P. (2001). The psychology of life stories. *Review of General Psychology*, 5(2), 100-122.

McAdams, D. P. (2008). Personal narratives and the Life story. In R. P. John (Ed.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research.* (pp. 242-262). New York: Guilford press.

McAdams, D. P., & McLean, K. C. (2013). Narrative identity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(3), 233-238

Murray, M., 2008. Narrative Psychology. In: J. A. Smith, ed. *Qualitative psychology. A practical guide to research methods.*. London: SAGE, pp. 111-132.

Pillemer, D. B. (2001). Momentuous events and the life story. Review of General Psychology, 5(2), 123-134

Singer, J. A., 2004. Narrative identity and meaning making accross the adult lifespan: an introduction. *Journal of Personality*, 72(3), pp. 437-459.

Squire, C., Andrews, M. & Tamboukou, M., 2013. Introduction. What is narrative research. In: *Doing Narrative Research.* 2nd ed. Los Angeles: SAGE, pp. 1-21.

Literature

Character strenghts & positive psychology

www.viacharacter.org

https://seethegood.app

Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American psychologist*, *56*(3), 218-226.

Niemiec, R. M., Shogren, K. A., & Wehmeyer, M. L. (2017). Character strengths and intellectual and developmental disability: A strengths-based approach from positive psychology. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, *52*(1), 13-25.

Seligman, M. E. P. & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive Psychology. An Introduction. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 5-14.

Vuorinen, K., Erikivi, A., & Uusitalo-Malmivaara, L. (2018). A character strength intervention in 11 inclusive Finnish classrooms to promote social participation of students with special educational needs. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*.

Whitmore, K. F., Angleton, C., Pruitt, J. & Miller-Crumes, S. (2019) Putting a Focus on Social Emotional and Embodied Learning with the Visual Learning Analysis (VLA). Early Childhood Education Journal 47, pp. 549–558

Visual storytelling, visual methods, design

Banks, Marcus (2007). Using Visual Data in Qualitative Research. London: SAGE.
Cross, N. (2011). Design thinking: Understanding how designers think and work. Oxford: Berg.
Gaver, B., Dunne, T. & Pacenti, E. (1999). Design: Cultural probes. Interactions, 6(1), 21-29.
Goel, V. (1995). Sketches of thought. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Ings, W. (2014). Embodied Drawing: A Case Study in Narrative Design. *Artifact, 3*(2), 2.1-2.10. Kosonen, K. (2018) *Finding one's own way in desing. Reflections on narrative professional identity.* Helsinki: Aalto University. Doctoral dissertation.

Kosonen, K., & Mäkelä, M. (2012). Designing platform for exploring and reflecting on creative process. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 45*, 227-238.

Lawson, B. (2004). What designers know. Oxford: Elsevier.

Lawson, B. (2005). *How designers think: The design process demystified* (4th ed.). Oxford: Elsevier.

Pink, S. (2007). Doing Visual Ethnography (2nd ed.). London: SAGE.

Reavey, P. (Ed.) (2011). Visual methods in psychology. London: Routledge.

Tufte, E. R. (2002). *Visual Explanations. Images and Quantities, Evidence and Narrative* (5th ed.). Cheshire, Connecticut: Graphics Press.

Tufte, E. R. (2003). Envisioning Information (9th ed.). Cheshire, Connecticut: Graphics Press.

Y-Säätiö

Krista Kosonen Researcher

krista.kosonen@ysaatio.fi

www.ysaatio.fi