Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research



Does the level of social quality differ between homeless women and men before and after shelter exit?

Renée de Vet, Mariëlle Beijersbergen, Danielle Lako & Judith Wolf



Women's homelessness is more often hidden

Underrepresented in statistics

Postpone use of shelters

Vulnerable group

Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research

Background

Earlier studies directly comparing homeless women and men

- younger
- caretakers of children
- unemployed
- dependent on welfare benefits
- history of abuse
- at risk for violence while homeless
- lack of social support resources
- use of professional support resources

Model of social quality

Van der Maesen & Walker

Extent to which people can participate in social relationships

- enhance their well-being, capacities and potential
- shape their own circumstances
- contribute to societal development

Two basic tensions



Model of social quality

Society



Individual

Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research

Design and participants

- Multi-center randomized controlled trial to test the effectiveness of Critical Time Intervention (CTI) for homeless people
- Selection criteria:
 - 18 years or older
 - about to move **from shelter to housing** in the community
 - willing to accept case management services
- Attrition: 6% at 9-month follow-up





Recruitment and follow-up flow



Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research

Socio-demographic characteristics

| Baseline differences | | Women (<i>N</i> = 85) | Men (<i>N</i> = 98) | <i>p</i> -value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Years of age | | 37.90 (11.22) | 42.93 (11.40) | .003 |
| Migration background | | | | .403 |
| | Dutch native | 53 (62.4%) | 70 (71.4%) | |
| | First-generation migrant | 23 (27.1%) | 19 (19.4%) | |
| | Second-generation migrant | 9 (10.6%) | 9 (9.2%) | |
| Married or in civil partnership | | 11 (12.9%) | 12 (12.2%) | .887 |
| One or more children | | 75 (88.2%) | 52 (53.1%) | <.001 |
| History of literal homelessness | | 47 (55.3%) | 67 (68.4%) | .069 |
| Education level | | | | .009 |
| | Low education level | 60 (70.6%) | 55 (56.1%) | |
| | Intermediate education level | 21 (24.7%) | 24 (24.5%) | |
| | High education level | 4 (4.7%) | 19 (19.4%) | |

Living conditions

| Baseline differences | Women | | Men | | Baseline |
|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | n | M (SD) or no. (%) | n | M (SD) or <i>no.</i> (%) | difference |
| Satisfaction with financial resources | 83 | 2.93 (1.50) | 96 | 2.92 (1.54) | 0.00 [-0.45 – 0.45] |
| High amount of debt (≥ €10,000) | 70 | 32 (45.7%) | 89 | 47 (52.8%) | 0.75 [0.40 – 1.42] |
| Currently employed | 84 | 16 (19.0%) | 98 | 37 (37.8%) | 0.39** [0.19-0.78] |
| Satisfaction with housing | 85 | 4.75 (1.42) | 97 | 4.88 (1.51) | -0.18 [-0.61 – 0.25] |
| Satisfaction with safety | 84 | 5.43 (1.04) | 98 | 5.45 (1.05) | -0.08 [-0.39 – 0.23] |
| Victimized | 85 | 32 (37.6%) | 98 | 18 (18.4%) | 2.92** [1.44 – 5.91] |

* *p* < .05. ** *p* < .01. *** *p* < .001

Social & Societal embeddedness

| Baseline differences | Women | | Men | | Baseline |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | n | M (SD) or <i>no.</i> (%) | n | M (SD) or <i>no.</i> (%) | difference |
| Support from family members | 83 | 2.94 (1.45) | 93 | 2.97 (1.32) | -0.03 [-0.44 – 0.39] |
| Support from friends or acquaintances | 83 | 3.37 (1.12) | 94 | 3.16 (1.10) | 0.21 [-0.12 – 0.54] |
| Minor children staying with participant | 82 | 38 (46.3%) | 89 | 3 (3.4%) | 16.92*** [5.82 – 49.18] |
| Number of unmet care needs | 76 | 1.96 (2.08) | 85 | 1.87 (2.25) | 1.04 [0.74 – 1.47] |
| Number of types of services used | 83 | 2.77 (1.71) | 92 | 2.02 (1.33) | 1.39** [1.14 – 1.69] |
| Involved in criminal activity | 85 | 5 (5.9%) | 98 | 14 (14.3%) | 0.43 [0.15 – 1.20] |

* p < .05. ** p < .01. *** p < .001

Self-regulation

| Baseline differences | Women | | Men | | Baseline |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | п | M (SD) or no. (%) | n | M (SD) or no. (%) | difference |
| Self-esteem | 84 | 30.36 (5.77) | 95 | 32.13 (5.33) | -1.77 [-3.41 – -0.13]* |
| Psychological distress | 82 | 0.68 (0.53) | 94 | 0.51 (0.54) | 0.16 [0.00 – 0.32]* |
| Satisfaction with health | 84 | 4.43 (1.26) | 98 | 4.84 (1.21) | -0.41 [-0.78 – -0.05]* |
| Satisfaction with empowerment | 85 | 5.11 (1.49) | 98 | 5.53 (1.33) | -0.42 [-0.84 – -0.01]* |
| Excessive alcohol use | 81 | 6 (7.4%) | 91 | 29 (31.9%) | 0.18 [0.07-0.46]*** |
| Cannabis use | 80 | 6 (7.5%) | 89 | 22 (24.7%) | 0.26 [0.10 – 0.69]** |

* p < .05. ** p < .01. *** p < .001

Changes after shelter exit

- After shelter exit, the rate of change did not differ between women and men on any factors
- Gap in social quality remains after shelter exit



Conclusions

- Before shelter exit in the Netherlands, homeless women differed from men on many of the social quality factors
- Factors are similar as identified in earlier research, except:
 - proportion of women and men involved in criminal activity was similar
 - homeless women had more health-related problems than men
- Women in homeless shelters are a particularly vulnerable group
- Opportunity remains for shelter services to improve women's social quality during and after their shelter stay

Recommendations

- Attenuating residential and follow-up services more to the needs of homeless women
 - Access to conditional resources
 - Good cooperative links with services for women fleeing domestic violence, as well as police and other law enforcement services
 - Trauma-informed care

• Stable housing in the community as soon as possible

Thank you!



Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research

Impuls - Netherlands Center for Social Care Research

Radboudumc

Impuls - Onderzoekscentrum maatschappelijke zorg Geert Grooteplein Noord 21 6525 EZ Nijmegen

T +31 24 36 14365

- E Renee.deVet@radboudumc.nl
- I www.impuls-onderzoekscentrum.nl

Radboud university medical center

