Overview of the possible social impact

increasing energy efficiency standards in the region

Hanna Szemző (szemzo@mri.hu)

with

Eszter Turai and Timo Sándor Kollár



- To provide an overview of how increasing energy prices and increasing energy efficiency requirements could impact the former Socialist EU members
 - including Balkans, Baltic states and CEE
- To asses some very specific areas: renoviction, increasing MEPS, and what happens with unfit housing
- Including both single family homes and multi-unit buildings

General statements

- In the post-socialist areas of the EU (Baltic countries, post-socialist CEE countries, Balkans member states), more renovations take place than in the EU on average, but they tend to be less deep.
- CEE and SEE member states rely more on fossil fuels.
- The post-socialist regions are characterized by lower wages and weaker welfare states than their Western-European counterparts, while the need for affordable housing and more comfortable/healthier homes is higher.
- Energy efficiency refurbishments are supported almost in every country albeit with varying intensity and using various support structures

MEPS- Setting the scene

- Softer MEPS **not all encompassing**, but already in place for new builds and major renovations: (However: so far no compulsory renovations for residential buildings)
- Reliable, long-term renovation schemes exist in the post-socialist region
 mixed grant and loan elements with significant successes
- But their **commitment** toward supporting low-income households **varies**
- The most vulnerable groups have a **complex set of social-legal-economic difficulties**, which make renovation difficult for many of them, even in the case of a 90-100% subsidy. E.g. homes without a clear legal status

MEPS – the future

- The **enforcement of MEPS** can be a problem if one assesses the legal framework in itself, it says nothing about the actual impact.
- The impact depends on the enforcement and support provided by the decision makers. **Enforcement has to go hand in hand with enabling and helping** especially in the housing sector. Regulation doesn't have to mean primarily sanctions
- The successful introduction of MEPS might require the **expansion of the** restricted targeting of the most vulnerable
- The issue created by the combination of **low income and low real estate** value needs to be solved.

Renoviction

The concept of renoviction was primarily developed to describe the **process of tenants being** forced out of their rental flats as a result of a renovation, which often contributes to gentrification processes as well.

Background

- Little is known about the extent of renoviction in the region
- Especially true when we narrow down the research topic to renoviction as a result of energy efficiency investments

Diverse effects: on various housing segments: owner occupied, private rental and public

Share of owner-occupied and the rental sector on selected former Socialist countries of the EU

| Country | Owner occupancy | | Rental sector | |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | own outright | own with mortgage | private rental | public rental |
| Bulgaria | 82.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| Czechia | 58.9 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 1.2 |
| Estonia | 59.9 | 17.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 |
| Croatia | 83.3 | 6 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Latvia | 68.7 | 9 | 7.7 | 4.6 |
| Lithuania | 81.2 | 9 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Hungary | 78.9 | 12 | 4.4 | 3.4 |
| Poland | 70.1 | 11.0 | 5.4 | 7.6ª |
| Romania | 94.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Slovenia | 64.4 | 10 | 6.0 | 6.0 ^b |
| Slovakia | 73 | 17 | 8.0 | 0.7 |

Owner-occupied sector: underlying premises

Only condominiums

- Energy regulations do not make the energy efficiency renovation of already existing private homes compulsory this will change with the current review of the EBPD
- Owners of single-family homes don't have to engage in costly renovations contrary to their will, which could lead to them being evicted.)
- But **owners in condominiums can be forced** by the joint decision to invest
- Owner-occupation **slows down renoviction**: it creates an inflexible housing market precisely through its very high transaction costs.

Influencing factors

- **Subsidy intensity**: the higher the subsidy rate is, the lower the increase of the maintenance costs will be. Thus, it will decrease the likeliness of renoviction. The vulnerability of those involved also depends on the availability of targeted subsidies
- **Scale of renovation**: larger interventions and deep renovation are very costly, and despite the sharper decrease of energy expense could lead to more renoviction as a result of increased costs *could change in the future with rising energy prices*

Theoretical summary

| subsidy level | outcome |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| no | most likely smaller scale interventions with little cost increase, however a stagnating housing stock |
| low, non-targeted | most likely smaller scale interventions with little cost increase, in case of a larger intervention could lead to renoviction, if maintained for a long time could contribute to the energy efficient upgrade of the stock |
| high, non-targeted | Can support deep renovations and contribute to the renewal of the housing stock without renoviction, due to the associated high subsidies |
| mixed with high targeted for vulnerable groups | Can support deep renovations and still protect the most vulnerable, can be maintained for a longer period |

The role of subsidies: efficiency and social effects

Intensive subsidies Bulgaria, Croatia

Low level subsidies: Slovakia, Estonia

Fluctuating subsidy rates: Hungary

Slovakia: Source: Long-term renovation strategy, 2021, Ministry of Transport and Construction of the Slovak Republic

| | Dwellings in residential buildings | Dwellings in family houses | Total |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Census 2011 | 931 605 | 1 008 795 | 1 940 400 |
| Refurbished dwellings to 31.12.2013 | 469 319 | 336 415 | 805 734 |
| Share of refurbishment % | 50,38 | 33,35 | 41,52 |
| Refurbished dwellings to 31.12.2016 | 543 406 | 378 271 | 921 677 |
| Share of refurbishment % | 58,33 | 37,5 | 47,5 |
| Refurbished dwellings to 31.12.2019 | 632 301 | 431 846 | 1 064 165 |
| Share of refurbishment % | 67,87 | 44,97 | 54,84 |

- Between 1-8%, with the exception of Czechia (18%)
- Grey zone hard to estimate both its extent and the tenants' movements

Theoretical question: the role of the split incentives

- 1) The most common understanding of split incentives emphasizes tenants' interest in energy-efficient investments, where the landlord has to cover the investment costs, whereas the tenant would enjoy the savings.
- 2) In CEE countries another scenario becomes plausible, where the landlord could be interested in the renovation, viewing it as an investment to increase the value of his/her property. Given the lack of protection this could lead to renoviction, thus many tenants are disinterested.

Municipal ownership:

Renoviction through rent regulation

- a) condominiums dispersed
- b) in entire buildings larger areas: Municipalities often cause the biggest renovictions, or at least we have more evidence about it than for other sectors. However, these cases typically regard general refurbishments, not specifically energy efficiency ones.